



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/910,882	07/24/2001	Yutaka Tsuda	110170	3322	
25944 7	590 11/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			WHIPKEY	, JASON T	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/910,882	TSUDA, YUTAKA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason T. Whipkey	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOWHIC WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5-10,13-16 and 18 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 12 and 17 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a)	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-3, filed September 30, 2005, with respect to the rejection of claims s 1-4 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Tanaka and Ogina.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino (U.S.
 Patent No. 5,852,467) in view of Tanaka (Japanese Patent Publication No. 11-341414).
 Regarding claim 1, Ogino discloses an electronic camera (see Figure 5) comprising:

Art Unit: 2612

a volatile memory (internal memory 6) that has a capacity sufficient to temporarily maintain image data of a plurality of images to be transferred to an external memory (external storage device 11a; see column 7, lines 52-64);

Note that a volatile memory inherently holds data as long as power is applied to it.

Power is inherently not applied when a battery loses its charge.

Ogino is silent with regard to detecting a remaining capacity of a battery and displaying the maintainable time of stored image data.

Tanaka discloses an imaging device (see Drawing 3), including:

a detector (control section 34) that detects a remaining capacity of a battery (see paragraph 66) for supplying power to memory (frame memory 24); and

a maintain time calculator (control section 34) that calculates a maintainable time of the image data maintained in volatile memory based on the remaining capacity detected by said detector (see paragraphs 66-67 and note that since data in a volatile memory is maintained as long as a power source is maintained, image data may be maintained only for the time calculated).

As described in paragraphs 66-68, an advantage of calculating a maintainable time is that a user can be warned that a battery will cease operation prior to filling a storage medium to capacity. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Ogino's camera include the detector and maintainable time calculator described by Tanaka.

Regarding claim 2, Tanaka discloses:

a display (LCD 5) for displaying the maintainable time (see paragraph 67 and Drawing 7).

Regarding claim 3, Ogino discloses:

a connecting portion (interface circuit 10) communicating image data with the external memory.

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka discloses:

the external memory comprises a recording medium detachably accommodated to the camera (see column 7, lines 47-51), and said connecting portion comprises a connector (if a memory card [see id.] is used, a connector is inherently present).

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,018,017) in view of Tanaka.

Regarding claim 11, Sasaki discloses an electronic camera (figures 6A and 6B) comprising:

> a volatile memory (buffer memory 316) that has a capacity sufficient to temporarily maintain image data (memory 316 loses its contents when power is lost; see column 10, lines 33-51) of a plurality of images (the capacity of memory 31₆ may be large enough to store the data of more than one frame; see column 10, lines 33-36) to be transferred to an external memory of the camera (memory card 15);

Art Unit: 2612

a recording mode selector (CPU 24₁) that selects one of a first recording mode for transferring and recording the image data to the external memory after maintaining the image data in said volatile memory temporarily (image data is written from buffer memory 31₆ into memory card 15 if sufficient space exists on the memory card, as determined by CPU 24₁; see column 10, lines 60-68, and column 11, lines 34-45) and a second recording mode for recording the image data in said volatile memory (if space is unavailable, the image data is held in buffer memory 31₆; see column 10, lines 41-44).

Sasaki is silent with regard to detecting, calculating, and displaying a remaining time for holding the image data in memory.

Tanaka discloses:

a detector (control section 34) that detects a remaining capacity of a battery (see paragraph 66) for supplying power to memory (frame memory 24); and

a maintain time calculator (control section 34) that calculates a maintainable time of the image data maintained in volatile memory based on the remaining capacity detected by said detector (see paragraphs 66-67 and note that since data in a volatile memory is maintained as long as a power source is maintained, image data may be maintained only for the time calculated); and

a display (LCD 5) for displaying the maintainable time (see paragraph 67 and Drawing 7) when the second recording mode is selected by said recording mode selector (the time remaining is displayed at all times; see paragraphs 66-67).

Art Unit: 2612

As described in paragraphs 66-68, an advantage of calculating a maintainable time is that a user can be warned that a battery will cease operation prior to filling a storage medium to capacity. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Ogino's camera include the detector and maintainable time calculator described by Tanaka.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-10, 13-16, and 18 are allowed.

Regarding claims 5-10 and 13-16, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests an electronic camera that calculates a time during which a camera is operational and a volatile memory is maintained by subtracting a maintain capacity, which is calculated based on a desired data maintain time set by a setter, from a detected battery capacity.

Regarding claim 18, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests an electronic camera that calculates (a) a time during which a volatile memory can be maintained based on a detected battery charge, and (b) a time during which a camera operation can be maintained based on a detected battery charge, and displays both on a display.

7. Claims 12 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding both claims, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests an electronic camera that calculates a time during which a camera is operational and a volatile memory is maintained by subtracting a maintain capacity, which is calculated based on a desired data maintain time set by a setter, from a detected battery capacity and displays both the memory maintainable time and calculated camera operational time on a display.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment on March 3, 2005, necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2612

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. eastern

daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu, can be reached at (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. ITW

November 9, 2005

NGOC-VEN VO